

CPA-52 Classroom Exercise

Match the CPA-52 data to the correct location this information should be documented on the blank form. Note by marking number on a blank CPA-52 form as shown below:

U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service		NRCs-CPA-52 4/2013		A. Client Name:	
ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET				B. Conservation Plan ID # (as applicable): Program Authority (optional):	
D. Client's Objective(s) (purpose):			C. Identification # (farm, tract, field #, etc. as required):		
E. Need for Action:			H. Alternatives		
1			No Action		✓ if RMS <input type="checkbox"/>
			Alternative 1		✓ if RMS <input type="checkbox"/>
2			Alternative 2		✓ if RMS <input type="checkbox"/>
3			4		
5			6		
Resource Concerns					
7 In Section "F" below, analyze, record, and address concerns identified through the Resources Inventory process. 8 (See FOTG Section III - Resource Planning Criteria for guidance).					
F. Resource Concerns and Existing/ Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified concern)		I. Effects of Alternatives			
		No Action		Alternative 1	
		Amount, Status, Description		Amount, Status, Description	
		✓ if does NOT meet PC		✓ if does NOT meet PC	
		(Document both short and long term impacts)		(Document both short and long term impacts)	
7 SOIL: EROSION					
8					
9		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
10		NOT meet PC		NOT meet PC	
11					
12					
13					
14					

- 1 Project located in usual and accustomed areas for multiple tribes.
- 2 No dredge or fill planned in river or on floodplain. Reach mapped as Temperature impaired on 303d list.
- 3 Farm 156 Tract 1403 & 1406; T. 13N, R 7W, Sec 32; T.12N, R. 7W, Sec 5
- 4 SVAP Overall Condition=4.8 TN-14 score Riparian Area=0.25, Wetland=0.25. See inventory sheets for limiting factors.
- 5 Arta, Bear Prairie, Elocoman, Rennie, and Zenker mapped as prime or farmland of statewide importance.

- 6** May Effect FSA will evaluate project for effects to Cultural and Historic Properties prior to project installation.
- 7** SVAP Bank Condition = 4, some areas of bank erosion due to livestock damage
- 8** SVAP Riparian Quantity = 1; Canopy Cover 1. No woody vegetation along 500 ft. of the 2000 ft. reach; buffer is less than 10 ft. wide in most areas and has sparse red alder with blackberry dominating. 303d listed reach for temperature.
- 9** Implement a livestock watering system (614), install permanent fencing (382) along Salmon River and around seasonal wetland to permanently exclude livestock (472) and restore a 50-ft wide riparian forest buffer along the main stem Salmon River (391).
- 10** Pipeline extended from barn into field 1 which will supply year round clean water.
- 11** Complaints to County Health Department from local recreational water users during summer low flows
- 12** Livestock exclusion and Riparian buffer establishment will help stabilize stream bank in long term.
- 13** Coho EFH present in mainstem Salmon River
- 14** Landowner has no alternative summer water source for 6 horses and allows horses to access a stream reach heavily used by recreational rafters.
- 15** Improve relationship with locals by excluding horses from river, creating off-channel water source, and restoring a riparian forest along right bank of mainstem Salmon River.
- 16** Some improvements on the planning unit for riparian, stream habitat condition, and wildlife habitat may occur. May contribute to slight overall improvement to these conditions in the landscape.
- 17** Practices will contribute to landowner's desire to reduce complaints from local population of recreational water users and improve water source for horses. CREP will assist with long term management goals for landowner.